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Independent Auditors’ Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

Mr. Charles Gray, Chair
Connecticut Airport Authority
Windsor Locks, Connecticut

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Connecticut Airport Authority’s (the Authority) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on the Authority’s major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2016. The Authority’s major federal program is identified in the summary of auditors’ results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management’s Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors’ Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the Authority’s major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority’s compliance.
Opinion on the Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Authority’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.
We have audited the financial statements of each fund of the Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority’s basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated October 10, 2016, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

West Hartford, Connecticut
October 10, 2016
## CONNECTICUT AIRPORT AUTHORITY
### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Grantor/Program Title/Program Description</th>
<th>Grant Number</th>
<th>CFDA Number</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. Department of Transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Improvement Program:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering Study and Schematic Design</td>
<td>3-09-0022-048</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>$230,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Condition Plan</td>
<td>3-09-0022-059</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>81,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Assessment for Obstruction Analysis</td>
<td>3-09-0022-058</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>74,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation of Taxiway S (West)</td>
<td>3-09-0022-057</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>171,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation of Taxiway E &amp; F</td>
<td>3-09-0022-061</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>1,721,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife Fencing</td>
<td>3-09-0009-029</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>36,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation of Runway 15-33</td>
<td>3-09-0009-030</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>181,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct 2 Bay Snow Removal Building</td>
<td>3-09-0010-016</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>96,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife Fencing</td>
<td>3-09-0010-017</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>54,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Acquisition for Noise Compatibility</td>
<td>3-09-0017-022</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>1,655,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitate Taxiway B</td>
<td>3-09-0017-023</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>483,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Condition Study</td>
<td>3-09-0900-009</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>103,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>3-09-0900-010</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>241,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport System Plan Update</td>
<td>3-09-0900-011</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>307,988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Expenditures of Federal Awards**

$5,439,098
NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the Connecticut Airport Authority (the Authority), under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2016. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance).

Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Authority, it is not intended to, and does not, present the financial position, changes in fund balance, changes in net position or cash flows of the Authority.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

NOTE 3 - INDIRECT COST RECOVERY

The Authority did not recover its indirect costs using the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate provided under Section 200.414 of the Uniform Guidance.
Independent Auditors’ Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Mr. Charles Gray, Chair
Connecticut Airport Authority
Windsor Locks, Connecticut

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of each fund of the Connecticut Airport Authority (the Authority), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 10, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Authority’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2016-001, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.
Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Authority’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

West Hartford, Connecticut
October 10, 2016
I. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS’ RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditors’ report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:
- Material weakness(es) identified? yes X no
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? yes X none reported
- Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? yes X no

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:
- Material weakness(es) identified? yes X no
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? yes X none reported

Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR Section 200.516(a)? yes X no

Major programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA #</th>
<th>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>Airport Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: $750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? yes X no

II. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

2016-001 Prior Period Adjustment

Criteria The necessity for making a prior period adjustment is considered to be evidence of a weakness in internal controls.

Condition A prior period adjustment was required to eliminate a duplicate capital asset in the General Aviation Airport Fund.

Context Capital assets were reduced by $10,932,270. Gross capital assets in the General Aviation Airport Fund after the adjustment totaled $134,314,144.

Effect The effect is capital assets and net assets were overstated before the correcting entry was posted.

Cause A capital asset was erroneously double counted when the capital assets of the General Aviation Fund were originally contributed to the Authority.
**Recommendation**  
When one-time transactions occur, proper controls to review the information should be in place to ensure accuracy.

**Views of Responsible Officials**  
Management’s capital assets controls led to the discovery of the duplication in fiscal year 2016. No other duplications were noted. The initial establishment of accrual based financial statements for the General Aviation Airports Fund in fiscal year 2014 was a very unique one-time event. Management is very confident in the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal controls surrounding fixed asset management.

### III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.