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Ms. Mary Ellen S. Jones, Chair
Connecticut Airport Authority
Windsor Locks, Connecticut

Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program

We have audited the Connecticut Airport Authority’s (the Authority) (a component unit of the State of Connecticut) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on the Authority’s major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2015. The Authority’s major federal program is identified in the summary of auditors’ results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management’s Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors’ Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the Authority’s major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority’s compliance.
Opinion on the Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Authority’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.
We have audited the financial statements of each fund of the Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority’s basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated October 19, 2015, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Blum, Shapiro & Company, P.C.

West Hartford, Connecticut
October 19, 2015
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Grantor/Program Title/Program Description</th>
<th>Grant Number</th>
<th>CFDA Number</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airport Improvement Program:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering Study and Schematic Design</td>
<td>3-09-0022-048</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>$8,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct and Equip New Airfield Vault</td>
<td>3-09-0022-051</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>260,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruct, Mark and Light Taxiway &quot;C&quot;</td>
<td>3-09-0022-054</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>343,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Sound Installation</td>
<td>3-09-0022-056</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>3,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design of Taxiway S, U and J</td>
<td>3-09-0022-055</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Condition Plan</td>
<td>3-09-0022-059</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>39,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Acquisition for Bird Habitat Mitigation</td>
<td>3-09-0022-052</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>2,105,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Assessment for Obstruction Analysis</td>
<td>3-09-0022-058</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>10,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation of Taxiway S (West)</td>
<td>3-09-0022-057</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>2,126,461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brainard Master Plan Update</td>
<td>3-09-0010-015</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>15,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct 2 Bay Snow Removal Building</td>
<td>3-09-0010-016</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>98,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Acquisition for Noise Compatibility</td>
<td>3-09-0017-020</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>1,964,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windham Airport Master Plan Update</td>
<td>3-09-0021-011</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>141,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crack Sealing for General Aviation Airports</td>
<td>3-09-0900-006</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>36,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Acquisition for Noise Compatibility</td>
<td>3-09-0017-022</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>2,121,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitate Taxiway B</td>
<td>3-09-0017-023</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>1,258,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife Assessment</td>
<td>3-09-0017-021</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>30,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Condition Study</td>
<td>3-09-0900-009</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>79,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>3-09-0900-010</td>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>64,813</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Expenditures of Federal Awards**

$10,710,333

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule
1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) includes the federal
grant activity of the Connecticut Airport Authority (the Authority), under programs of the federal
government for the year ended June 30, 2015. The information in the Schedule is presented in
accordance with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133,
Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.

Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Authority, it is not
intended to, and does not, present the financial position, changes in fund balance, changes in net
position or cash flows of the Authority.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported using the modified accrual basis of accounting.
Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, Cost
Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments, wherein certain types of expenditures are
not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.
Independent Auditors’ Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Ms. Mary Ellen S. Jones, Chair
Connecticut Airport Authority
Windsor Locks, Connecticut

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of each fund of the Connecticut Airport Authority (the Authority) (a component unit of the State of Connecticut), which comprise the balance sheet as of June 30, 2015 and the related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 19, 2015.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Authority’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2015-001. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.
Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Authority’s Response to Findings

The Authority’s response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The Authority’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Authority’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Blum, Shapiro & Company, P.C.

West Hartford, Connecticut
October 19, 2015
I. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS’ RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditors’ report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:
• Material weakness(es) identified? ______ yes X no
• Significant deficiency(ies) identified? X yes _____ none reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? _____ yes X no

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:
• Material weakness(es) identified? ______ yes X no
• Significant deficiency(ies) identified? _____ yes X no none reported

Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133? _____ yes X no

Identification of major programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA #</th>
<th>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.106</td>
<td>Airport Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: $ 321,310

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? _____ yes X no
II. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

2015-001 Accounting for Federal Grant Revenue

Criteria
For cost reimbursement grants, grant revenue is recognized to the extent that allowable expenditures have been incurred.

Condition
The Authority accrued construction costs on projects funded by federal grants, but did not accrue the resulting additional grant revenue and receivables.

Context
The auditor initiated an entry to accrue federal grant revenue totaling $4,322,155.

Effect
The effect is grant revenue and receivables were understated, before the correcting entry was posted.

Cause
Management did not believe that the Authority was entitled to grant revenue until the allowable costs were paid.

Recommendation
We recommend that grant revenue be accrued on the basis of allowable costs incurred going forward.

Management Response and Planned Corrective Action
Management appreciates the clarification and will accrue grant revenue based on allowable costs incurred in the future.

III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.